



Extended abstract

EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Title: Which Are the Actors that Matter in an Industrial Cluster. Recommendations for an Accurate Delimitation of the Research Field

Authors and e-mail of all: F. Xavier Molina-Morales (molina@emp.uji.es), Luis Martínez-Cháfer (chafer@emp.uji.es)

Department: Administración de Empresas y Marketing

University: Universitat Jaume I

Subject area: *(please, indicate the subject area which corresponds to the paper)*

S05 – Glocal clusters: critical aspects and new trajectories

Abstract: *(minimum 1500 words)*

One recurrent concern for the business studies is the need to accurately define the field setting of the research. Researchers need to isolate an *entity* from which to make a significant study. This isolation means that the relationships in the internal entity will be treated in more detail and with a greater and deeper vision than those used to examine the relationships between internal and external entities (Becattini, 1979).

The concern arises, fundamentally, because of the limitations of the conventional ways used to classify individual businesses to build empirical settings. For instance, the official statistics frequently use classifications based on technology or product similarities in the industry or sector. This is the case of the popular Standard Industry Classification (SIC) or the Statistical Classification of Economic Activities in the European Community, commonly referred to as NACE. These classifications often condensate very distant and diverse environments into a reduced number of categories. Despite of their frequent use in research and the acknowledged constraints, there is still little or no methodological discussion about them (Peneder, 2003).

In our opinion, these classifications, and other similar ones, are far from capturing the real actors for a comprehensive understanding of the business environment, and consequently difficult to make an accurately economic and entrepreneurial analysis



(Jacobs and [O'Neill](#), 2003). Some critics focused on the difficulties to the codification of certain activities such as some new or cross sectors activities (Bean, 2016). For instance, this is particularly problematic for studies concerning to comparative analysis between individual company performance in the same classification code (Bhojraj, et al, 2003) or also to determine an area for economic and industrial policy (Santisteban, 2006).

In describing the aforementioned drawbacks of conventional classifications, we aim to propose an alternative method to define the empirical setting. This debate triggers further research which we address by going back to the seminal definition of unit of analysis by Becattini (1979). Instead of product or technology classifications this author suggested the use of sociological definitions. Specifically, the family of sociological definitions where the element that characterized them consisted on the *conscience* of the subjects belonging to a particular industry. The sense of belonging is a difficult-to-measure data, but for that reason it is not less real and probably everyone will agree on the fact that when it exists, it becomes a first-order social force (Becattini, 1979).

In the context of our research we understand that the *sense of belonging* is represented by accounting for the real interactions between actors in the business information and technological knowledge. That is, we consider that an actor belongs to an industry when is actively involved in the networks of knowledge exchanges. Moreover, we distinguish two different types of knowledge exchanges, in relation with the technological and business knowledge networks.

In our research, we applied the ideas exposed above on the industrial clusters as a form of industrial organization that is able to impact on the competitiveness, innovation and employment growth of firms, regions and countries (Porter 1990, 1998). In clusters internal relations in knowledge exchanges has been widely reported (Maskell and Malmberg 1999; Cooke 2002; Sammarra and Biggiero 2008). In addition, geographic clusters are frequently represented by a network model to analyze involved actors and the interactions among them (Branston et al. 2005; Boschma and Ter Wal 2007; Mario Davide and Sacchetti 2008).

In order to address the research gap, this paper uses *Social Network Analysis* (SNA) to define all companies and organizations belonging the industrial cluster. In consequence,



firms and organizations that sustain ties in both technological and business knowledge exchange networks can be considered as relevant actors of the cluster and consequently the empirical setting for the potential analysis. Doing that, we attempt not only to define the boundaries of the cluster but also to weight the importance of individual or groups of actors.

We expect to contribute to the methodological debate about the definition of the empirical setting in the business literatures. In addition, we also contribute to the specific literature about clusters providing a methodological approach to know real actors involved in it but also their relative relevance. This potential contribution goes further to the metrological sphere providing, among others, a more realistic ambit of application of economic and industrial policies

This paper has been structured as follows: first, we develop the theoretical framework and research questions, we describe the empirical setting and then results and conclusions.

References

- Bastian et al., (2009). Bastian, M., Heymann, S. and Jacomy, M., (2009). Gephi: an open source software for exploring and manipulating networks. In *Third international AAAI conference on weblogs and social media*.
- Baum, J.A. and Oliver, C. (1992). Institutional embeddedness and the dynamics of organizational populations, *American Sociological Review*, pp.540-559.
- Bean, C. (2016). Independent Review of UK Economic Statistics. *Chancellor of the Exchequer*, London.
- Becattini G. (1979). Dal Settore Industriale al Distretto Industriale. Alcune considerazioni Sull'unità di Indagine in Economia Industriale *Revista di Economia e Politica Industriale* (1); pp. 1-8.
- Becattini, G. (1990). *The Marshallian Industrial Districts as a Socio-economic Notion*; in Pyke, F.; Becattini, G. and Sengenberger, W., eds.: *Industrial Districts and Inter-firm Co-operation in Italy*. Geneve, International Institute for Labour Studies.
- Becchetti, L. and Rossi, S. P. (2000). The Positive Effect of Industrial District on the Export Performance of Italian Firms. *Review of Industrial Organization* 16(1), pp.53-68.
- Bhojraj, S., Lee, C.M. and Oler, D.K. (2003). What's my line? A comparison of industry classification schemes for capital market research. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 41(5), pp.745-774.



Boari, C. and Lipparini, A., (1999). Networks within industrial districts: Organising knowledge creation and transfer by means of moderate hierarchies. *Journal of management and Governance*, 3(4), pp.339-360.

Boari, C., Molina-Morales, F.X. and Martínez-Cháfer, L., (2017). Direct and interactive effects of brokerage roles on innovation in clustered firms. *Growth and Change*, 48(3), pp.336-358.

Boix, R. (2009). "The Empirical Evidence of Industrial Districts in Spain," Chapters, in: *A Handbook of Industrial Districts*, chapter 26, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.

Boix, R. and Galletto, V. (2006). 'Sistemas industriales de trabajo y distritos industriales marshallianos en españa', *Economía Industrial* vol. 359, pp. 165- 184.

Borgatti, S.P., Everett, M.G. and Freeman, L.C., (2002). *Ucinet for Windows: Software for social network analysis*.

Boschma, R.A. and Ter Wal, A.L., (2007). Knowledge networks and innovative performance in an industrial district: the case of a footwear district in the South of Italy. *Industry and Innovation*, 14(2), pp.177-199.

Branston, J.R., Rubini, L., Sugden, R. and Wilson, J.R., (2005). *Healthy governance: economic policy and the health industry model* (pp. 45-58). Edward Elgar Publishing Limited: London, UK.

Breschi, S. and Lissoni, F., (2001). Knowledge spillovers and local innovation systems: a critical survey. *Industrial and corporate change*, 10(4), pp.975-1005.

Cooke, P., (2002). *Knowledge economies: Clusters, learning and cooperative advantage*. London, Routledge.

Dahlstedt R. Salmi, T. Luoma, M. and Laakkonen, A. (1994). On the usefulness of standard industrial classifications in comparative financial statement analysis *European Journal of Operational Research*, 79 (2), 8,pp. 230-238

Freeman, L. C. (1979). Centrality in social networks: Conceptual clarification. *Social Networks*, (1) pp.215–239.

Giuliani, E. and Bell, M., (2005). The micro-determinants of meso-level learning and innovation: evidence from a Chilean wine cluster. *Research policy*, 34(1), pp.47-68.

Giuliani, E., (2007). Networks and heterogeneous performance of cluster firms. *Applied Evolutionary Economics and Economic Geography*, pp.161-179.

Giuliani, E., (2011). Role of technological gatekeepers in the growth of industrial clusters: Evidence from Chile. *Regional Studies*, 45(10), pp.1329-1348.

Hanneman, R.A. and Riddle, M., (2005). *Introduction to Social Network Methods*. University of California, Riverside. Published in digital form at <http://faculty.ucr.edu/~hanneman/>

Hernandez-Sancho, F., Soler-Marco, V., Sala-Garrido, R. and Almenar-LLongo, V. (2012). Productive Efficiency and Territorial Externalities in Small and Medium-Sized Industrial Firms: A Dynamic Analysis of the District Effect. *Growth and Change*, 43(2), pp.179-197.

Hervás-Oliver J. L., Albors-Garrigos, J., Estelles-Miguel, S., and Boronat-Moll, C. (2018). Radical innovation in Marshallian industrial districts. *Regional Studies*, 52(10), 1388-1397.



- Hervas-Oliver, J.L. Albers-Garrigos, J. and Dalmau-Porta, J.I. (2008). External Ties and the Reduction of Knowledge Asymmetries among Clusters within Global Value Chains: The Case of the Ceramic Tile District of Castellon. *European Planning Studies*, 16: 507-520.
- Jacobs, G. and O'Neill, C., (2003). On the reliability (or otherwise) of SIC codes. *European Business Review*, 15(3), pp.164-169.
- Kile, C.O. and Phillips, M.E., (2009). Using industry classification codes to sample high-technology firms: Analysis and recommendations. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance*, 24(1), pp.35-58.
- Knoke, D. and Burt, R.S., (1983). Applied network analysis. *Applied Network Analysis*, Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Lazerson, M.H. and Lorenzoni, G., (1999). The firms that feed industrial districts: a return to the Italian source. *Industrial and corporate change*, 8(2), pp.235-266.
- Malmberg, A., (2003). Beyond the cluster-local milieus and global connections. *Remaking the global economy*, pp.145-159.
- Maskell, P. and Malmberg, A., (1999). Localised learning and industrial competitiveness. *Cambridge journal of economics*, 23(2), pp.167-185.
- McEvily, B. and Zaheer, A., 1999. Bridging ties: A source of firm heterogeneity in competitive capabilities. *Strategic management journal*, 20(12), pp.1133-1156.
- Mehra, A., Kilduff, M. and Brass, D.J., (2001). The social networks of high and low self-monitors: Implications for workplace performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 46(1), pp.121-146.
- Molina-Morales, F.X. and Martínez-Cháfer, L., (2016). Cluster Firms: You'll Never Walk Alone. *Regional Studies*, 50(5), pp.877-893.
- Molina-Morales, F.X. Capó-Vicedo, J.M. Martínez-Fernández, T. and Expósito-Langa, M. (2013). Social capital in industrial districts: Influence of the strength of ties and density of the network on the sense of belonging to the district *Papers in Regional Science*, 92(4) 773-789.
- Molina-Morales, F.X., (2002). Industrial districts and innovation: the case of the Spanish ceramic tiles industry. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 14(4), pp.317-335.
- Molina-Morales, F.X., (2005). The territorial agglomerations of firms: A social capital perspective from the Spanish tile industry. *Growth and Change*, 36(1), pp.74-99.
- Morrison, A. and Rabellotti, R., (2009). Knowledge and information networks in an Italian wine cluster. *European Planning Studies*, 17(7), pp.983-1006.
- Morrison, A., (2008). Gatekeepers of knowledge within industrial districts: who they are, how they interact. *Regional Studies*, 42(6), pp.817-835.
- Munari, F., Sobrero, M. and Malipiero, A., (2012). Focal firms as technological gatekeepers within industrial districts: knowledge creation and dissemination in the Italian packaging machinery industry. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 21, pp.429-469.



Parrilli, M.D. and Sacchetti, S., (2008). Linking learning with governance in networks and clusters: key issues for analysis and policy. *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 20(4), pp.387-408.

Peneder, M. (2003). Industry classifications: Aim, scope and techniques. *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, 3(1-2), 109-129.

Porter, M.E., 1990. *The Competitive Advantage of Nations*. The Competitive Advantage of Nations. New York, Free Press.

Porter, M.E., 1998. *Clusters and the new economics of competition*. *Harvard Business Review* 76(6), pp.77-90.

Pyke, F., Becattini, G. and Sengenberger, W. eds., (1990). *Industrial districts and inter-firm co-operation in Italy*. Geneva, International Institute for Labour Studies.

Russo, M., (1985). Technical change and the industrial district: the role of interfirm relations in the growth and transformation of ceramic tile production in Italy. *Research Policy*, 14(6), pp.329-343.

Sammarra, A. and Biggiero, L., 2008. Heterogeneity and specificity of Inter-Firm knowledge flows in innovation networks. *Journal of Management Studies*, 45(4), pp.800-829.

Santisteban, M. A. (2006). Business systems and cluster policies in the Basque Country and Catalonia (1990–2004). *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 13(1), 25-39.

Saxenian, A., (1991). The origins and dynamics of production networks in Silicon Valley. *Research policy*, 20(5), pp.423-437.

Signorini, L.F., (1994). The price of Prato, or measuring the industrial district effect. *Papers in Regional Science*, 73(4), pp.369-392.

Wasserman, S. and Faust, K., 1994. *Social network analysis: Methods and applications* (Vol. 8). Cambridge University Press.

Ybarra J.A. (1991), Determinación cuantitativa de distritos industriales: la experiencia del País Valenciano, *Estudios Territoriales*, n. 37, pp. 53-67.

Yin, R. (1989). *Case study research design and methods*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Keywords: (maximum 6 words)

Industrial cluster, Social Network Analysis, Knowledge networks

JEL codes: O31, O32, O33